

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	08/977,052		ANDRIEU ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	John S. Maples		1745	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John S. Maples. (3)_____.

(2) Ellen Smith. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 17 April 2001.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the examiner phoned the attorney with some proposed changes to the claims. Agreeable language was worked out and the same is set forth in the examiner's amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Ellen Smith on April 17, 2001.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 27, lines 2-3, --comprises at least one—replaces “is selected...essentially”;

Claim 33, lines 2-3, --comprises at least one—replaces “is selected...essentially”;

Claim 34, line 2, --comprising at least one—replaces “selected...essentially”;

Claim 35, line 2, --comprising at least one—replaces “selected...essentially”;

Claim 38, lines 1-2, --comprises at least one—replaces “is selected...essentially”;


Claim 39, lines 1-2, --comprises at least one—replaces “is selected...essentially”.

Art Unit: 1745

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is 703-308-1795. The examiner can normally be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gabrielle Brouillette can be reached on 703-308-0756. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3599 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.


John S. Maples
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1745

JSM
April 17, 2001